

**Liver Forum 21 Meeting
Paris, September 9, 2026**

**Reporting on ACLF
Early Drug Development Webinar**

**Richard Moreau, MD
European Foundation for the Study of Chronic Liver
Failure (EF CLIF), Barcelona, Spain
& INSERM, Paris, France**

I have no disclosure

ACLF Steering Committee



THE FORUM
For Collaborative ResearchSM
Berkeley's Hub for Regulatory Science

Co-Chairs

Veronica Miller
Forum for Collaborative Research

Jacqueline O'Leary
Dallas VA Medical Center

Richard Moreau
EF-CLIF

Pejvack Motlagh
GENFIT

Industry

Rajiv Jalan
Yaqrit

Academia

Cornelius Engelmann
Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin

Jasmohan Bajaj
Virginia Commonwealth University

Jennifer Lai
University of California, San Francisco

Constantine Karvellas
University of Alberta

Jonel Trebicka
University of Münster

Paolo Angeli
University of Padova

Rajiv Jalan
University College London

Acknowledgments

- Dean Hum
- Meghan Rakowski
 - Research Associate and Project Manager
- LF Sponsors

Webinar Held on June 24, 2026

- Convened by the Liver Forum-ACLF Steering Committee
- Jacqueline O'Leary (Dallas VA Medical Center) & Pejvack Motlagh (GENFIT): **Why ACLF drug development is unique?**
- Cornelius Engelmann (Charité (Universitätsmedizin Berlin), Thomas Berg, (Leipzig University Medical Center) & Dominique Thabut (AP-HP Sorbonne Université): **Challenges in recruitment and assessment in early phase studies in ACLF.**
- Scott Berry (Berry Consultants): **Phase 1 oncology trials.**
- Alexia Iasonos (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center): **Utilizing randomization, backfill and expansion cohorts to gain greater understanding in phase 1 trials.**

Novel therapies to be used on top of standard of care are needed to improve transplant-free survival of ACLF

Why is ACLF Early Drug Development Challenging?

- Heterogeneity of ACLF:
 - Precipitants: bacterial, fungal infections; alcohol-related hepatitis; GI hemorrhage; HBV flare; absent in 30%
 - Presence of one or more organ system failures (liver, kidney, brain, circulation, respiration)
 - Dynamicity during first days
 - Risk of death w/o liver transplantation by 28 days increases with the number of failing organs (20% to ~100%)
- Pathophysiology: Poorly understood
- Impaired liver and kidney functions, liver bypass through portosystemic shunts: necessity of testing pharmacokinetic and safety in phase 1/2a studies.

Lessons from the First Trials in ACLF

- GRAFT (Phase 2, G-CSF vs SOC): primary end point: 90-day transplant-free survival; early termination because conditional power analysis low probability of detecting a survival benefit.*
- DHELIVER (Phase 2, stem cell therapy vs SOC): primary end point: overall survival by 90 days; slow recruitment; early termination (sponsor decision).
- CONFIRM (Phase 3, terlipressin for HRS): Positive for the primary end point “verified HRS reversal”. Death was not the primary end point.
- None of these trials developed biomarkers.

Why Oncology?

- Cancer:
 - Patients are too sick to wait
 - Early trials go directly to patients, not healthy volunteers
 - Disease heterogeneity is high, requiring biomarker-driven patient selection
 - Urgent to quickly identify active agents.
- ACLF: similar drug development challenge

Lessons from Oncology

- Oncology conducts first-in-human studies directly in “nonresponders” allowing joint efficacy-toxicity assessment in a single phase 1/2a “adaptive” trial.
- 3 different designs:
 - “Classical” 3 + 3
 - Continual Reassessment Method (CRM)
 - Backfilling included in Bayesian Optimal INterval (BOIN) design; allows trials generating robust data packages required by FDA across multiple doses simultaneously, saving time and protecting patients.

Next steps

- Writing a manuscript on challenges in ACLF early drug development and potential solutions:
 - Includes master protocols: adaptive platform, basket & umbrella trials.
- Pursue multidisciplinary discussions through the Liver Forum.